

## United States Patent and Trademark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A <sup>*</sup>	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/459,712	12/13/99	YURINO		N	07898/053001	
020985 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC		コ	EXAMINER MARSCHEL, A			
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CA 92122			1631	lo	
				DATE MAILED:	10/23/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

### Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/459,712** 

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Yurino et al.

Examine

**Ardin Marschel** 

Art Unit 1631

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
Period for Reply	THE THE PART OF TH
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep be considered timely.</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
<ul> <li>communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Ig date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 8, 20</u>	101
2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This acti	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	
Disposition of Claims	
4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>7-24</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 7	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>8-24</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims _7-24	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a  approved b) disapproved.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	,
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority docient application from the International Bureau     *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company.	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	·
Attachment(s)	,
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Kinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	20) € Other: attachmat for PTO-948

Applicants' arguments, filed 8/8/01, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Applicant is hereby notified that the required timing for the correction of drawings has changed. See the last 6 lines on the sheet which is attached entitled "Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01 or earlier)". It is noted that a PTO Form 948 was mailed with Paper No. 5 on 12/12/00. Due to the above notification Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections within the time period set for responding to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

Claims 8-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

NEW MATTER has been introduced into the claims via the newly added claims 8-24 regarding the immobilization of probes onto the substrate. As worded in claim 8, for example, part (a), is

interpretable in that each of a plurality of probes are immobilized at a given position, one position, that is. mixture of said plurality of types of probes is immobilized at that one position. Written basis as filed for such a mixed probe immobilization on any position has not been found as filed. Consideration of the pointed to page 5, lines 15-17, in the specification has failed to give written support for the above noted claim wording. It is noted that a possible interpretation of this part (a) is that each probe type is separately immobilized on each different and separate position. This unclarity as to the meaning of said part (a) is also NEW MATTER. This rejection is necessitated by amendment and is noted to be an issue for all pending claims either directly or via dependence.

Claims 8-24 are rejected, as discussed below, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The newly added claims contain the phrase "degree hybridization" in lines 1 of claims 8, 9, and 24. This phrase is either a misworded phrase which is awkwardly worded due to missing the word "of" between "degree" and "hybridization" or, alternatively, is meant to indicate a special type of hybridization now called "degree hybridization". Clarification is requested via clearer claim wording. This rejection is

necessitated by amendment.

As previously noted the citing of "binding" versus
"hybridization" practice in the claims causes them to be vague
and indefinite as to whether some type of binding beyond
hybridization is meant but not defined in the instant
application. This binding wording is in claims 16-23. This
rejection is necessitated by amending which added new claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 8-21, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 102(e) as being anticipated by Walt et al.(P/N 6,023,540).

This rejection is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 4/9/01, and as necessitated by amendment regarding newly added claims. Applicants argue that Walt et al. does not quantify the number of probes on each microsphere. In response the quantitation of microspheres with probes on them is deemed a quantitation of probes albeit not of probe number per se. This has been further pointed to in the

previous basis for this rejection at column 15, lines 16-40, where a normalizing signal which quantitates probe content is clearly disclosed. It is also noted that the argued number of probe molecules is not an instant claim limitation. Rather the instant claims only indicate an "amount" which lacks any numerical requirement that would distinguish the instant claims from the reference which determines an amount via a normalizing signal. It is also noted that different markers are shown in Figure 3 of Walt et al. as instantly claimed in claim 13.

Figures 8A-10B are various indicative displays of differences of fluorescence between probes and analyte as also required in instant claim 14.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

This application contains claim 7 drawn to an invention non-

elected without traverse in Paper No. 6, filed 1/17/01. A complete response to the final rejection must include cancellation of non-elected claims or other appropriate action (37 C.F.R. § 1.144) M.P.E.P. § 821.01.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

October 19, 2001

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

09/459,712 Pager #10

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

### 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

#### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections <u>within the time period set in the attached Office communication</u>. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.